Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/606,301	DIESSEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leon Y. Lum	1641
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>Leon Y. Lum</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kurt Briscoe</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 June 2005	Time: ~10:00 AM	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		·
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
•		
$\Omega \Lambda$		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/606,301

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A voicemail message indicating potential abandoment of the instant application due to a lack of reply to the Office Action mailed 15 December 2004 was left for Applicant's representative. However, no response to the Office Action or voicemail message has been received.